

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	1
1.1	Research Background . . . . .	1
1.2	Demarcation of Research . . . . .	5
1.3	Terminology . . . . .	6
1.4	Outline . . . . .	8
	References . . . . .	10
<b>2</b>	<b>The Dutch Choice of Law Rules on Divorce</b> . . . . .	13
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	13
2.2	The Dutch Choice of Law Act on Divorce . . . . .	14
2.2.1	Development of the Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . .	14
2.2.2	Scope of Application: Same-Sex Marriages . . . . .	16
2.2.3	Foundation of the CLAD: <i>Favor Divortii</i> . . . . .	17
2.3	The Spouses' Choice as to the Applicable Law. . . . .	19
2.3.1	General: Limited Choice . . . . .	19
2.3.2	Choice for the Application of Dutch Law ( <i>Lex Fori</i> ). . . . .	21
2.3.3	Choice for the Application of the Common National (Foreign) Law of the Spouses . . . . .	22
2.3.4	Formal Requirements of the <i>Professio Iuris</i> . . . . .	22
2.4	The Law Applicable to Divorce in the Absence of a <i>Professio Iuris</i> . . . . .	26
2.4.1	Nationality or Domicile? . . . . .	26
2.4.2	Common National Law of the Spouses . . . . .	28
2.4.3	The Law of the Country in Which Both Parties Have Their Habitual Residence . . . . .	36
2.4.4	The <i>Lex Fori</i> . . . . .	37
2.4.5	Date of Reference . . . . .	38
2.5	Public Policy Exception . . . . .	39
2.6	The Proposed Amendments of the Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . .	40
2.7	Conclusion . . . . .	46
	References . . . . .	47

<b>3</b>	<b>The Dutch Choice of Law Rules on the Termination of Registered Partnerships</b> . . . . .	51
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	51
3.2	The Absence of a Treaty in the Field of Registered Partnerships . . . . .	53
3.3	The Dutch Choice of Law Act on Registered Partnerships . . . . .	55
3.3.1	General . . . . .	56
3.3.2	Characteristics of the CLARP. . . . .	58
3.3.3	Scope of Application. . . . .	61
3.3.4	Transitional Provision . . . . .	62
3.4	The Law Applicable to the Termination of Registered Partnerships . . . . .	64
3.4.1	Foundation of the Choice of Law Rules on the Termination of Registered Partnerships: <i>Favor Dissolutionis</i> . . . . .	64
3.4.2	Structure of the Choice of Law on the Termination of Registered Partnerships . . . . .	65
3.4.3	The Choice of Law Rules: General Remarks . . . . .	66
3.4.4	Termination of Registered Partnerships Entered into in the Netherlands. . . . .	68
3.4.5	Termination of Registered Partnerships Entered into Abroad . . . . .	68
3.5	Public Policy Exception . . . . .	74
3.6	Will the Dutch Proposal on Private International Law Bring any Changes? . . . . .	75
3.7	Conclusion . . . . .	76
	References . . . . .	77
<b>4</b>	<b>The Europeanisation of International Family Law: The EU Legislature's Competence</b> . . . . .	79
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	79
4.2	The Transfer of Competence in the Field of International Family Law to the EU . . . . .	80
4.2.1	Prior to the Treaty of Amsterdam . . . . .	81
4.2.2	The Treaty of Amsterdam . . . . .	84
4.2.3	After the Treaty of Amsterdam. . . . .	89
4.3	Why is the EU Developing a Unified System of International Family Law?. . . . .	93
4.3.1	General Objectives Fulfilled by a Choice of Law Unification . . . . .	94
4.3.2	Specific European Aims and Objectives. . . . .	98
4.4	EU Competence to Enact Measures of International Family Law: Scope and Limits of Article 81 TFEU . . . . .	110

4.4.1	Judicial Cooperation in Civil Matters . . . . .	111
4.4.2	Cross-Border Implications . . . . .	113
4.4.3	‘Particularly when Necessary for the Proper Functioning of the Internal Market’ . . . . .	113
4.4.4	The Principles of Subsidiarity and Proportionality. . . . .	115
4.4.5	Legislative Procedure: Article 81(3) TFEU . . . . .	121
4.5	The Role of the European Court of Justice . . . . .	122
4.6	Territorial Limits to European Private International Law: ‘ <i>Europe à Deux Vitesses</i> ’ . . . . .	124
4.6.1	United Kingdom and Ireland . . . . .	125
4.6.2	Denmark . . . . .	126
4.7	Synthesis: Is the European Union Competent to Enact a Common Choice of Law on Divorce? . . . . .	127
4.7.1	The Development of a Unified Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . .	127
4.7.2	Competence Pursuant to Article 65 EC-Treaty . . . . .	130
4.7.3	Competence Pursuant to Article 81 TFEU . . . . .	133
4.8	Conclusion . . . . .	133
	References . . . . .	134
<b>5</b>	<b>The Proposed European Choice of Law Rules on Divorce . . . . .</b>	<b>145</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	145
5.2	Divorce in Substantive and Private International Law of the Member States . . . . .	146
5.2.1	The Substantive Divorce Laws of the Member States . . . . .	147
5.2.2	The Choice of Law Rules on Divorce of the Member States . . . . .	149
5.3	The Objectives of the Brussels II <sup>ter</sup> -Proposal . . . . .	152
5.3.1	Exclusion of <i>Renvoi</i> . . . . .	154
5.4	The Scope of Application of the Proposed Choice of Law Rules . . . . .	156
5.4.1	Territorial Scope of Application . . . . .	156
5.4.2	Substantive Scope of Application . . . . .	159
5.4.3	Temporal Scope of Application . . . . .	162
5.5	The Proposed Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . .	162
5.5.1	The Spouses’ Choice as to the Applicable Law . . . . .	162
5.5.2	Formal Requirements of the <i>Professio Iuris</i> . . . . .	165
5.5.3	The Applicable Law in the Absence of a Choice by the Parties . . . . .	170
5.5.4	Date of Reference . . . . .	183
5.5.5	The Law Applicable to Divorce: Synthesis. . . . .	184
5.6	The Application of Foreign Law . . . . .	184

5.7	Public Policy Exception . . . . .	186
5.8	Does the Proposal Attain the Objectives as Set Out by the Commission in the Explanatory Memorandum to the Brussels II <sup>ter</sup> -Proposal? . . . . .	188
5.9	Conclusion . . . . .	191
	References . . . . .	192
<b>6</b>	<b>The Failure of the Establishment of a Common European Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . .</b>	<b>199</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	199
6.2	The Position of Malta . . . . .	200
6.3	The Problem of Competence. . . . .	202
6.3.1	Does the Internal Market Require a Unified Choice of Law on Divorce? . . . . .	202
6.3.2	Fulfilment of the Principles of Subsidiarity and Proportionality . . . . .	205
6.3.3	Problem of European Competence Solved? . . . . .	209
6.4	The Methodological Problem . . . . .	211
6.5	Will There be a Common Choice of Law on Divorce in the EU in the Future? . . . . .	217
6.5.1	Enhanced Cooperation . . . . .	219
6.5.2	Limitation of the Scope of Application to Intra-European Cases. . . . .	223
6.5.3	Enhancing the Role of the <i>Lex Fori</i> . . . . .	224
6.5.4	Less Stringent Interpretation of the Principle of the Closest Connection . . . . .	230
6.5.5	Synthesis . . . . .	232
6.6	Conclusion . . . . .	232
	References . . . . .	233
<b>7</b>	<b>The Dutch and the European Choice of Law Rules on Divorce Compared . . . . .</b>	<b>237</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	237
7.2	General Observations. . . . .	239
7.2.1	Arrangement of the Choice of Law: General and Specific Provisions . . . . .	239
7.2.2	Foundation of the Choice of Law on Divorce. . . . .	241
7.2.3	Scope of Application. . . . .	241
7.3	Structure and Composition of the Choice of Law Rules on Divorce . . . . .	244
7.4	The Spouses' Choice as to the Applicable Law. . . . .	245
7.4.1	Current Dutch Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . .	245

- 7.4.2 Proposed Dutch Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . . 246
- 7.5 Formal Requirements of the *Professio Iuris* . . . . . 247
  - 7.5.1 Form of the *Professio Iuris* . . . . . 247
  - 7.5.2 Implied Choice of the Spouses as to the  
Applicable Law . . . . . 249
  - 7.5.3 Time of Choice as to the Applicable Law . . . . . 251
- 7.6 The Law Applicable to Divorce in the Absence  
of a *Professio Iuris* . . . . . 252
  - 7.6.1 Current Dutch Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . . 252
  - 7.6.2 Proposed Dutch Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . . 255
- 7.7 Has the Netherlands Rightly Opposed the Brussels  
IIter-Proposal as Regards Its Content? . . . . . 256
  - 7.7.1 The Current Dutch Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . . 256
  - 7.7.2 The Proposed Dutch Choice of Law on Divorce . . . . . 258
  - 7.7.3 Synthesis . . . . . 258
- 7.8 Conclusion . . . . . 259
- References . . . . . 260

- 8 A Unified System of International Family Law in the  
European Union: Which Way Forward? . . . . . 263**
  - 8.1 Introduction . . . . . 263
  - 8.2 What can be Learned from the Brussels IIter-‘Adventure’? . . . . 264
    - 8.2.1 General . . . . . 265
    - 8.2.2 Transparency . . . . . 267
    - 8.2.3 Interrelationship with Other Areas of (International)  
Family Law . . . . . 269
  - 8.3 Aims and Objectives of European International Family Law. . . . 270
  - 8.4 The Methodology of European International Family Law. . . . . 273
    - 8.4.1 The Need for a Theoretical Foundation of European  
International Family Law . . . . . 274
    - 8.4.2 Unique Character of the European Union. . . . . 276
    - 8.4.3 General Characteristics of European International  
Family Law *De Lege Lata* . . . . . 287
    - 8.4.4 The Methodology of European International  
Family Law *De Lege Ferenda* . . . . . 290
  - 8.5 Synthesis: Recommendations to the EU Legislature. . . . . 302
  - 8.6 To Conclude . . . . . 304
  - References . . . . . 304

**Appendix 1: Brussels IIter-Proposal . . . . . 313**

**Appendix 2: Council Draft on the Brussels IIter-Proposal  
of 23 May 2008 . . . . . 319**

**Appendix 3: Resolution of the European Parliament  
on the Brussels IIter-Proposal. . . . . 329**

**Table of Cases. . . . . 335**

**Index . . . . . 339**